C.F. 71

1935

Department of Revenue

OFFICE Bd. & Hall

STATION Madras

Mis. No. 4834

K. 2355

Dated 16-12-35

(SUBJECT.)

Inams - Personal - (Bellary) - Hospet Tq. - Kurudagaddi vge - T.S. no. 1555 - Lands in the limits of Hirehal vge - Relinquishment - Lr. - Inamdars - Order passed.

C.F. 32.

DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title

Received *Registered*

From (Name) T. Bhaskara Rao Esq., I.C.S., BST 21

(Designation) Collector

Post Box ____, (Station) Bellary

Telegraphic Address



To

The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Land Revenue & Settlement,

MADRAS.

R.C. *No.* 5392/34 A-6, *dated* 3-9-1935 *19*

Sir

Enclosures

Spare Copies

Inams - Personal - Hospet taluk - Kurudagaddi village - Proprietary - Lands in the limits of Hospet village - Relinquishment by the Proprietor.

There is a proprietary village by name Kurudagaddi in Hospet taluk, granted by British Government as Jahgir under T.D. 1955, subject to the payment of a quit-rent of Rs. 140/- In addition to the above village, certain other lands situated in the Government vill of Hospet, and measuring 154.73 acres were also granted to the Jahgirdar "to equalize the amount mentioned in the grant".

2. Of the Jahgir lands situated in Hospet village, P.Nos. ~~187~~, 139, 140, 141 and a portion of P.No.144 measuring in all 50.39 acres were taken up for extending the village site of Hospet which was a Union town at that time. After payment of compensation to the Jahgirdar the lands were transferred to the Hospet Taluk Board, which had jurisdiction over the Union town at that time. Since then, Hospet has become a Municipality. The old Taluk Board, was paying the quit-rent of Rs. 8/- per annum on these transferred lands, and subsequently the Municipality has been paying the same.

3. The resettlement register of Hospet village shows that all the Jahgir lands referred to above, have been surveyed and retained as Jahgir land under the same

T.D. viz. 1955, but they stand registered in the names of the persons or Bodies occupying them. Out of the total quit-rent of Rs. 140/- an amount of Rs. 27-6-0 has been apportioned among the various fields situated in Hospet limits.

4. As noted in paragraph 2 above, Rs. 8/- is being collected from the Municipality and the remaining quit-rent of Rs. 132/- is being recovered from the proprietor. The latter complains that none of the lands situated in Hospet limits are under his possession and that there is no justification for the recovery of the full amount of quit-rent from him. In order to get an exemption from the payment of quit-rent amounting to Rs. 19-6-0 collectable in respect of these lands, he has relinquished his right over all the lands in the Hospet limits. According to B.S.O. 62 (V), this offer of relinquishment cannot be accepted as the inamdar is not in actual possession of the lands.

5. Government were hitherto looking forward to the proprietor for the payment of the full quit-rent of Rs. 132, But as he wishes to have no connection whatever with the Jahgir lands situated in the Hospet limits, I solicit instructions whether the quit-rent of Rs. 19-6-0 may be collected directly from the persons who are in actual possession and enjoyment of the lands as in the case of the Municipality which is paying it share of Rs. 8/-

For Collector.

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

	Date.	Initials.
When received for fair copying		
When copied		
When examined		
When signed		
When despatched		

SUBJECT:—

READ AGAIN_____

names of the present occupants
as shown in the Result Regr. of the
village
14/9
14/9/35

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

From.

T. Bhaskara Rao Esq. I.C.S.,

Collector

Bellary.



To

The Secretary to the Commissioners,

Land Revenue & Settlement

Madras.

R.O.C. No. 5392/35.A-6 Dated 30th November 1935.

I N A M S - Personal(Bellary) Hospet Taluk- Kuradagaddi village(P) T.D. 1955-. Lands in the limits of Hospet ~~Taluk~~ village- Relinquishment- Further report submitted.

Ref. Boards K. No. 2395/35-1 dated 16-9-35.

In the original settlement register of Hospet village, S.Nos. 29.30,31,55,58,59,60 and 77 B stood registered in the name of the Taluk Board, Hospet, the remaining lands being in the name of Subedar Sivappa, the Jagirdar of Kuredagaddi. In the resettlement register, the lands are registered in the names of the actual occupiers and a remark is made in the last column that the lands are "Jahgir" lands. This mode of registration appears to have been adopted during the period between the original settlement, and the resettlement. No authority is traceable for it, but it is surmised that the registry was ordered after verifying the possession and enjoyment of the actual owners during the interval between the two settlements

2. The quit-rent of Rs. 8/- payable on the jagir lands acquired for the old Hospet Taluk Board, is paid directly to Government by the Hospet Municipality. Ten occupiers who live in Hospet pay quit-rent on the other lands to the Headman of Kuradagadda through the headman of Hospet. The headman of Kuradagatta is an agent of the Jaghirdar and the money collected by him is payable to the Jahgirdar himself. There is no

authority for this except an implied understanding that the headman of a shrotriya village is also an agent of the Shrotriemdar for collecting rents and taxes on his behalf. The Hagirdar has been paying whatever remains uncollected at Hospet in the quit-rent of Rs. 27-6-0 leviable on the lands situated in the limits of Hospet village.

3. Notices were issued to the occupants to state whether they have any objection to pay the quit-rent direct to Government. Only five people have agreed to pay it direct to Government. The presence of the others could not be secured. In the absence of any protest from them, it may be presumed that they have no objection to such a ~~cause~~ course as quit-rent is a legitimate charge on the lands which they are actually enjoying.

4. The circumstances under which the inam lands came to be registered in the names of their present occupants are not known. Probably they were so registered because the lands are a portion of an enfranchised personal inam. The quitrent on the several lands has not however been ~~apportioned~~ apportioned in the Inam B Register by the Resettlement staff.

For Collector.

S. 2.12. A

2x1135

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL

Date. Initials.

SUBJECT:—

When received for fair copying

When copied

When examined..

When signed

When despatched.

READ AGAIN _____ 19__

" " 19__

READ AI

p1 of No. K·2355 From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 19__

pp3-4 of " Nuffk.2355-35-1946-9.35-Q " _____ " _____ 19__

pp5-6 of " K.3158 " _____ " _____ " _____ 19__

~~Order~~ Resolution ~~Ms.~~ Rout. No. 4834, dated 6-12-1943

U3

~~J.S.~~

A.S.(Estt)

~~thro'~~ Secl

(W.S. re: typing of 11-12 ~~agenda~~ SH/ 4/12.

Inams. - Personal - (Bellary) - Hospet Ta-

K...ndagaddi vge - T.O. No 1955 - thanis - in.

Papers put up.

1) Resett Regn. of Hospet vge } Kept book
2) D.R. of Kamadagaddi vge } 19/12/12 cf

pp 1 - 2 cf

pp 3 - 4 cf

pp 5 - 6 cf

Some other lands in the Hospet village ~~[illegible]~~ carrying a quit rent of Rs 15-6-0 have been in the enjoyment of some persons. This amount is ~~[illegible]~~ now being paid to Govt by the proprietor of the village. He now proposes to relinquish these lands in order to escape payment of the amount. As he is not now in actual possession of the lands, the question of the relinquishment of the land by him does not arise. It cannot be accepted under B.S.O. no. 62(V). If he wants to ~~[illegible]~~ get rid of the payment of quit rent on these lands, he must get the transfer of ~~[illegible]~~ registry of the lands effected in the names of the persons who are now enjoying them. Unless and until he does this, he himself is directly responsible to Govt for the payment of quit-rent on the lands & Govt need ~~[illegible]~~ not collect the amount from the enjoyers direct now (even though some of them have given their consent) In the circumstances the Collr may be asked to inform the proprietor that his proposal to relinquish the lands in question cannot be accepted, that he should get the transfer of registry of the lands effected in the names of their actual enjoyers & that until this is done he alone is responsible to Govt for the payment of the proportionate quit-rent on the